1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney		
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) Assistant United States Attorney 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612-5217 Telephone: (510) 637-3929 Facsimile: (510) 637-3724 E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	7 ttorneys for Flamini		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 09-00070 SBA		
14	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE		
15	v.) AND EXCLUDING TIME		
16	JEROME TOY SINCLAIR,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendant, by and through his		
20	attorney of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:		
21	1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 9 a.m. on Tuesday,		
22	February 9, 2010.		
23	2. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 9 a.m. on Tuesday, March		
24	2, 2010, in order to provide defendant's counsel with additional time to evaluate the evidence in		
25	this case and determine whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or file motions		
26	and to prepare for trial in this matter.		
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING		

HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME

- 3. Specifically, defendant's counsel needs the continuance in order to review discovery with defendant, particularly additional discovery to be produced by the government regarding laboratory results, investigate the case, and develop a motions and/or trial strategy in light of the discovery. In addition, counsel for defendant and the government require additional time to meet and confer with respect to the production of discovery regarding the informant in this case so that defendant's counsel can determine whether or not to file a motion to compel informant-related discovery. The government is now in the process of evaluating informant-related discovery requests recently made by defense counsel. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendant's counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from February 9, 2010, to March 2, 2010, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney
Dated: February 8, 2010	GARTH HIRE Assistant United States Attorney
	Attorney for United States of America
Dated: February 8, 2010	JOYCE LEAVITT
	Attorney for Defendant Jerome Toy Sinclair

ORDER

FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The currently scheduled February 9, 2010, status conference hearing is vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on March 2, 2010.
- 2. The time period from February 9, 2010, to March 2, 2010, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, as set forth above, taking into account due diligence.

DATED: <u>2/9/10</u>

HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE